

□ weak□ good□ very good□ excellent

## NANGARHAR UNIVERSITY JOURNAL OF ARTS AND

	HUMANITIES Date-Received: / /	
NUJAH 🖟	Review Form Return: / /	
Title of the pape	r:	
	review form and submit	
	pic relevant to the journal area of interest? Is it contemporary and interesting for	
researchers?	to the journal area of interest? Is it contemporary and interesting for	
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
$\square$ good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
Abstract+Keywe appropriately cho	<b>ords:</b> Are all required components included in the abstract? Are the keywords osen?	
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
good		
□ very good □ excellent		
□ excellent		
Goal: Is the goal	explicitly stated in the Introduction? Is its formulation clear and unambiguous?	
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
good		
<ul><li>□ very good</li><li>□ excellent</li></ul>		
	paper's structure coherent? Is it in coherence with the goal of the paper?	
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
good		
□ very good □ excellent		
	ods: Are methods the author uses adequate and well used?	
☐ insufficient	bus. Are memous the author uses adequate and wen used:	
□ weak	Written Comment:	
□ good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
<b>Discussion and Conclusion:</b> Is it related to the results presented before? Do you consider them as coherent?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	

Literature: Does the author utilize relevant literature?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak	Written Comment.	
□ good		
□ very good		
$\square$ excellent		
<b>Author's knowledge:</b> What is the level of author's knowledge? Does he/she utilize all recent contributions relevant to the topic?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak	Written Comment.	
□ good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
<b>Length:</b> Is the length of the paper adequate to the significance of the topic? Do you suggest shortening		
the paper without losing its value?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
□ good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
<b>Figures and Tables:</b> Does the author use them suitably? Are legend and notations clear?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
□ good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
Writing style: Is it clear and understandable?		
☐ insufficient	Written Comment:	
□ weak		
good		
□ very good		
□ excellent		
Further comments on the paper:		
Recommendation:		
☐ Y - Suitable to be published		
☐ R - Suitable for publishing after corrections		
□ N - Not suitable for publishing		